

## Acknowledgements

Everyone without whom this work would not exist deserves my sincerest thanks: First and foremost Prof. Dr. Dres. h.c. Joseph Straus. He took on this very diverse topic, accompanied me with it and gave valuable advice while letting me enjoy a considerable degree of scientific freedom.

Cordial thanks also to Prof. Dr. Annette Kur for her time and input regarding the trade mark law part and to Prof. Dr. Michael Lehmann for acting as second reader.

Many thanks to the Max Planck Society, the Max Planck Institute for Intellectual Property, Competition and Tax Law (now Max Planck Institute for Intellectual Property and Competition Law) and the Munich Intellectual Property Law Center (MIPLC) for providing me with the luxury of a stipend and thereafter taking me on as a scientific associate. During this time I wrote most of this work. I would like to express my gratitude to Prof. Martin J. Adelman, Prof. Robert Brauneis, Prof. Kenneth D. Crews and The Honorable Randall R. Rader, Chief Judge (in alphabetical order) for supporting the MIPLC (where I took my first steps in the IP world), both financially and/or humanly, in their unique and unobtrusive ways.

My family, most notably my mother and brother, have always given me matchless tangible and intangible support. So have my close friends. I am very happy and thankful about these invaluable assets. In particular, the two most important men in my life, my husband Robert and our son Rasmus, deserve all my gratitude for their love, belief in me, support and for reminding me, time and again, to follow my heart.



# Contents

<b>Acknowledgements</b>	<b>5</b>
<b>Contents</b>	<b>7</b>
<b>List of Figures</b>	<b>15</b>
<b>Table of Abbreviations</b>	<b>17</b>
<b>1 Introduction</b>	<b>21</b>
1.1 Objectives and Structure of this Thesis . . . . .	23
1.1.1 Objectives . . . . .	23
1.1.1.1 Structured Examination of Fundamental Valuation Issues . . . . .	23
1.1.1.2 Improvement of Tradability of IP as Assets: Reduction of Information Asymmetries and of Risks . . . . .	23
1.1.1.3 Value Implications of Trade Mark Law . . . . .	24
1.1.1.4 Valuation and Evaluation . . . . .	25
1.1.2 Structure . . . . .	25
1.1.2.1 Provision of Essential Knowledge . . . . .	26
1.1.2.2 Definition of the Desired Stage . . . . .	26
1.1.2.3 Examination of the Current Stage . . . . .	26
1.1.2.4 Introduction of Means to Overcome this Gap	27
1.2 Distinguishing Reporting from Forecasting Valuations . . . . .	27
1.3 General Framework Underlying the Value of any Asset . . . . .	29
1.3.1 Scarcity . . . . .	29
1.3.2 Utility and Title . . . . .	30
1.3.3 Intermediate Findings . . . . .	31
1.4 Requirements a Forecasting Valuation Technique Must Meet .	31

1.4.1	Conceptual and Methodical Soundness . . . . .	32
1.4.1.1	Comprehensiveness . . . . .	32
1.4.1.2	Context . . . . .	33
1.4.1.3	Transparency . . . . .	33
1.4.1.4	Flexibility . . . . .	34
1.4.1.5	Reduction of Asymmetries of Information and Reduction of Risks . . . . .	35
1.4.1.6	Reliability vs. Accuracy . . . . .	36
1.4.1.7	An Appropriate Degree of Objectivity . . . . .	37
1.4.2	Widespread Acceptance . . . . .	38
1.4.3	Manageable Output . . . . .	39
1.4.3.1	Future Orientation . . . . .	39
1.4.3.2	Comparability of Results . . . . .	40
1.4.4	Findings . . . . .	40
1.5	Summary . . . . .	41

<b>2</b>	<b>Brand Valuation Fundamentals</b>	<b>43</b>
2.1	Nature of Trade Marks and Brands . . . . .	43
2.1.1	Intangible Assets and Intellectual Property . . . . .	43
2.1.1.1	Intangible Assets . . . . .	43
2.1.1.2	IP vs. Intangible Assets . . . . .	46
2.1.1.3	Value Drivers and Detractors . . . . .	46
2.1.1.3.1	Network Effects . . . . .	47
2.1.1.3.2	Nonrivalry . . . . .	49
2.1.1.3.3	Scalability . . . . .	50
2.1.1.3.4	Nontradability . . . . .	51
2.1.1.3.5	Partial Excludability and Spillovers .	53
2.1.1.3.6	Inherent Risk . . . . .	53
2.1.1.3.7	Legal Scarcity . . . . .	55
2.1.1.4	Intermediate Findings . . . . .	56
2.1.2	The Term ‘Trade Mark’ as Opposed to ‘Brand’ . . . . .	56
2.1.2.1	Trade Marks – Definition and Functions . . . . .	57
2.1.2.1.1	Trade Marks as Legal Phenomena .	57
2.1.2.1.2	Trade Mark Types . . . . .	59
2.1.2.1.3	Trade Mark Functions . . . . .	60
2.1.2.2	Brands – Definition and Functions . . . . .	63
2.1.2.2.1	Brands as Personality-like Phenomena	64

2.1.2.2.2	Brand Types . . . . .	72
2.1.2.2.3	Brand Functions . . . . .	73
2.1.2.3	Intermediate Findings . . . . .	76
2.1.3	Findings . . . . .	77
2.2	Introduction to Brand Valuation . . . . .	77
2.2.1	Development of Brand Valuation . . . . .	77
2.2.2	Definition and Origins of Brand Value . . . . .	80
2.2.2.1	Brand Value Defined . . . . .	80
2.2.2.2	Sources of Brand Value . . . . .	83
2.2.3	Findings . . . . .	84
2.3	Reasons for Brand Valuation . . . . .	85
2.3.1	Strategic and Operative Brand Management and Brand Controlling . . . . .	86
2.3.1.1	Marketing – Planning, Implementation and Control . . . . .	89
2.3.1.2	Communication with the Financial, Investment and Press Communities . . . . .	91
2.3.1.3	Assessment of Employee Bonuses . . . . .	91
2.3.2	Brand Transactions . . . . .	91
2.3.2.1	Brand Transfer (M&A, Outright Sale) . . . . .	91
2.3.2.2	Licencing . . . . .	94
2.3.2.3	Bankruptcy . . . . .	96
2.3.3	Brand Finance . . . . .	96
2.3.3.1	Collateralisation for Financial Needs . . . . .	97
2.3.3.2	Credit Rating . . . . .	97
2.3.3.3	Securitisation . . . . .	98
2.3.4	Brand Protection . . . . .	99
2.3.4.1	Brand Protection Strategy . . . . .	99
2.3.4.2	Assessment of Damages and Amount in Dispute	100
2.3.5	Accounting and Tax . . . . .	102
2.3.5.1	Accounting . . . . .	102
2.3.5.1.1	International . . . . .	103
2.3.5.1.2	European Community . . . . .	106
2.3.5.1.3	Germany . . . . .	106
2.3.5.2	Tax . . . . .	107
2.3.5.2.1	Transfer Pricing . . . . .	107
2.3.5.2.2	Corporate Succession . . . . .	109

2.3.6	Findings . . . . .	110
2.4	Summary and Conclusions . . . . .	110
<b>3</b>	<b>Brand Valuation – State of the Art</b>	<b>113</b>
3.1	The Current Brand Valuation Landscape . . . . .	113
3.1.1	General Issues and Trends . . . . .	113
3.1.2	Systematisation of Brand Valuation Methods . . . . .	116
3.1.3	Empirical Data on Distribution Rates: Surveys . . . . .	118
3.1.3.1	<i>Drees (1999)</i> . . . . .	119
3.1.3.2	<i>Günther and Kriegbaum-Kling (1999)</i> . . . . .	120
3.1.3.3	<i>Schimansky et al. (2003)</i> . . . . .	121
3.1.3.4	<i>Völckner and Pirchegger (2004)</i> . . . . .	122
3.1.3.5	Intermediate Findings . . . . .	123
3.1.4	Findings . . . . .	126
3.2	Analysis of Currently Applied Brand Valuation Methods . . .	127
3.2.1	Introduction . . . . .	127
3.2.1.1	Selection Criteria . . . . .	127
3.2.1.1.1	Practical Significance . . . . .	128
3.2.1.1.2	Sufficient Elaboration . . . . .	128
3.2.1.2	Assessment Criteria . . . . .	128
3.2.2	Financial Techniques . . . . .	129
3.2.2.1	The General Approaches . . . . .	129
3.2.2.1.1	Cost Approach . . . . .	130
3.2.2.1.2	Market Approach . . . . .	132
3.2.2.1.3	Income Approach, Discounted Cash Flow and Decision Tree Analysis . .	134
3.2.2.2	Derivative Generic and Proprietary Methods .	141
3.2.2.2.1	Price Premium . . . . .	142
3.2.2.2.2	Licence Analogy / Relief from Royalty	145
3.2.2.2.3	WoReWert® by Repenn . . . . .	147
3.2.2.3	Intermediate Findings . . . . .	149
3.2.3	Psychographic Models . . . . .	150
3.2.3.1	Examination of Indicators . . . . .	152
3.2.3.2	Brand Iceberg Model by <i>Icon Added Value</i> . .	153
3.2.3.3	Intermediate Findings . . . . .	156
3.2.4	Financial-Behavioural (Hybrid) Models . . . . .	157
3.2.4.1	Brand Performance System by <i>ACNielsen</i> . .	158

3.2.4.2	<i>Interbrand</i> Brand Valuation . . . . .	163
3.2.4.3	Intermediate Findings . . . . .	168
3.2.5	Conclusions . . . . .	169
3.3	Summary and Outlook . . . . .	170
3.3.1	Important Brand Valuation Developments and Issues .	170
3.3.2	First Steps Towards a Possible Solution . . . . .	172
<b>4</b>	<b>A Systematic Integrated Valuation Methodology</b>	<b>175</b>
4.1	Overview of the Valuation Process . . . . .	175
4.1.1	Financial Income-Based Analysis . . . . .	176
4.1.1.1	Income Approach, DCF and Decision Tree Analysis . . . . .	176
4.1.1.2	Assessing the Spread . . . . .	178
4.1.1.2.1	One-Party Scenarios . . . . .	178
4.1.1.2.2	Two- or Multi-Party Scenarios . . . . .	178
4.1.1.3	Intermediate Findings . . . . .	180
4.1.2	Prismatic Evaluation . . . . .	180
4.1.2.1	Compilation of the Four Dimensions of Value	182
4.1.2.2	Assessment of the Dimensions: Comparative Evaluation . . . . .	186
4.1.2.2.1	Point Score System . . . . .	187
4.1.2.2.2	Combination with the Value Spread	188
4.1.2.3	Intermediate Findings . . . . .	191
4.1.3	Conclusions . . . . .	191
4.2	Satisfaction of Mandatory Requirements . . . . .	192
4.2.1	Conceptual and Methodical Soundness . . . . .	192
4.2.1.1	Comprehensiveness . . . . .	193
4.2.1.2	Context . . . . .	193
4.2.1.3	Transparency . . . . .	193
4.2.1.4	Flexibility . . . . .	194
4.2.1.5	Reduction of Asymmetry of Information and of Risk . . . . .	194
4.2.1.6	Reliability . . . . .	195
4.2.1.7	An Appropriate Degree of Objectivity . . . . .	196
4.2.2	Widespread Acceptance . . . . .	197
4.2.3	Manageable Output . . . . .	197
4.2.3.1	Future Orientation . . . . .	197

4.2.3.2	Comparability of Results . . . . .	198
4.2.4	Findings . . . . .	198
4.3	Summary . . . . .	199
<b>5</b>	<b>The Legal Dimension</b>	<b>201</b>
5.1	Introduction . . . . .	201
5.2	Qualitative Scope of Protection . . . . .	206
5.2.1	Introductory Remarks . . . . .	206
5.2.2	Abstract Distinctiveness . . . . .	207
5.2.2.1	The Law in General . . . . .	207
5.2.2.2	Value Implications . . . . .	208
5.2.3	Concrete Distinctiveness . . . . .	208
5.2.3.1	The Law in General . . . . .	208
5.2.3.2	Three-dimensional Marks . . . . .	212
5.2.3.3	Colours and Colour Combinations . . . . .	214
5.2.3.4	Vowel-free Marks . . . . .	215
5.2.3.5	Value Implications . . . . .	215
5.2.4	Non-Descriptiveness . . . . .	217
5.2.4.1	The Law in General . . . . .	217
5.2.4.2	Value Implications . . . . .	219
5.2.5	Graphical Representability . . . . .	219
5.2.5.1	The Law in General . . . . .	219
5.2.5.2	Olfactory Marks . . . . .	220
5.2.5.3	Audio Marks . . . . .	221
5.2.5.4	Abstract Colour Marks . . . . .	222
5.2.5.5	Value Implications . . . . .	223
5.2.6	Findings – Relation to Brand Value . . . . .	223
5.3	Quantitative Scope of Protection – the Classes . . . . .	224
5.3.1	System of Trade Mark Classification . . . . .	224
5.3.2	Findings – Relation to Brand Value . . . . .	225
5.4	Geographic Scope of Protection . . . . .	226
5.4.1	The Law . . . . .	226
5.4.2	Findings – Relation to Brand Value . . . . .	227
5.5	Temporal Scope of Protection . . . . .	228
5.5.1	Beginning and Duration of Protection . . . . .	228
5.5.2	Findings – Relation to Brand Value . . . . .	228
5.6	Origin of Trade Mark Protection: Registered Trade Marks . . . . .	229