



MAX-PLANCK-GESELLSCHAFT



TECHNISCHE  
UNIVERSITÄT  
MÜNCHEN

THE GEORGE  
WASHINGTON  
UNIVERSITY  
LAW SCHOOL  
WASHINGTON DC

## MIPLC Studies

Edited by

Prof. Dr. Christoph Ann,  
Technische Universität München

Prof. Robert Brauneis,  
The George Washington University Law School

Prof. Dr. Thomas M.J. Möllers,  
University of Augsburg

Prof. Dr. Dres. h.c. Joseph Straus,  
Max-Planck-Institute for Intellectual Property,  
Competition and Tax Law

Volume 2

Murray Lee Eiland

# Patenting Traditional Medicine



**Nomos**

**MIPLC**

Munich  
**Intellectual  
Property**  
Law Center

Augsburg  
München  
Washington DC

Die Deutsche Nationalbibliothek verzeichnet diese Publikation in der Deutschen Nationalbibliografie; detaillierte bibliografische Daten sind im Internet über <http://www.d-nb.de> abrufbar.

The Deutsche Nationalbibliothek lists this publication in the Deutsche Nationalbibliografie; detailed bibliographic data are available on the Internet at <http://www.d-nb.de>.

Zugl.: Munich, Munich Intellectual Property Law Center LL.M., Thesis., 2006

ISBN 978-3-8329-3766-9

1. Auflage 2008

© Nomos Verlagsgesellschaft, Baden-Baden 2008. Printed in Germany. Alle Rechte, auch die des Nachdrucks von Auszügen, der fotomechanischen Wiedergabe und der Übersetzung, vorbehalten. Gedruckt auf alterungsbeständigem Papier.

This work is subject to copyright. All rights are reserved, whether the whole or part of the material is concerned, specifically those of translation, reprinting, re-use of illustrations, broadcasting, reproduction by photocopying machine or similar means, and storage in data banks. Under § 54 of the German Copyright Law where copies are made for other than private use a fee is payable to »Verwertungsgesellschaft Wort«, Munich.

# Inhaltsverzeichnis

Patenting Traditional Medicine <i>Murray Lee Eiland*</i>	7
INTRODUCTION	7
The Controversy	8
I. TERMINOLOGY OF TRADITIONAL KNOWLEDGE	10
1. WIPO Model Provisions	10
2. The Convention on Biological Diversity	11
3. Declaration of the Rights of Indigenous Peoples	12
4. Traditional Knowledge: A Certain Term?	13
5. IP Laws and Traditional Knowledge	13
II. PATENT PROTECTION	14
III. TRIPS	15
IV. US PATENT SYSTEM	16
1. Categories of Patent	16
2. Novelty	17
3. Joint Invention	20
4. Potential Conflict with TRIPS Obligations	21
V. INDIA	22
1. Patents	22
2. Tumeric	23
3. Indian Bio-Diversity Act	23
4. Neem	24
5. The Neem Patent at the EPO	25
6. Geographic Disparity in US Patent Law	25
7. Neem Patent in New Zealand	26
8. Databases	27
9. Fair Use	29
10. Is the Database Project Viable?	30
VI. DISCLOSURE OF ORIGIN	30
VII. PROSPECTING AGREEMENTS	32
VIII. GEOGRAPHICAL INDICATIONS	35
IX. TRADEMARKS	36
X. TRADE SECRET PROTECTION	37
XI. CHINA	39
1. Statutory Protection	39
2. Patent Law	40

3. A Database of Traditional Chinese Medicine?	42
XII. FLEXIBILITY OF THE PATENT SYSTEM?	43
XIII. CONCLUSION	44