practice-oriented remarks on work with library networks, 2 especially for the possibilities of the common maintenance of classification systems, are much more informative, while it is obvious that the author has deliberately ignored the problems connected with the intake of data from other systems in cooperative classification.

As the comparisons in the first part are laid out selectively, general conclusions on the specific methodology of shelf classification are avoided. This is, e.g., true of the "sensible maximum number" - often mentioned later Frau Doz.G.Heinrich on · of title frequencies per class; the controversial question of adequate rough and fine classification, and the effective value of coding, the assessment of which as a polyhierarchic approach in the special codes of the Regensburg system (c.f.p.40) is surely dubious. Leaving out of consi- Neue Regelwerke zum Schlagwortkatalog: eine Einfühdetails are out-dated (e.g. spread of the Bremen system; hospitality of the GHBS), the inclusion of empiric analythe systems presented.

The main part of the investigation, which is much more successful, takes a critical look at the demands made on shelf-oriented classifications and at the status of subject brought with it the collapse of a project whose aim it had catalogues, including subject catalogues in open-access shelving. The theses propounded here contain pragmatic heitsklassifikation) suitable for the shelving of books and guides, e.g. for the justification of double placings, refer, the keeping of systematic catalogues in both public and however, more to single contributions than to previously university libraries. described systems. As a way out of the diversity of the in conventional systems, appears doubtful.

function of the LCC for some systems at new German this. university libraries is stressed, the - from a historic point published recently by Ludwig³.

In many respects, this outline has its uses for orientation in the field of German shelf classification systems, reaction of the Deutsche Bibliothek (German Library) as information which is otherwise hard to come by Further- the leading light in German bibliography: would the more, this first "stock-taking" may serve as an impetus for Deutsche Bibliothek offer a central service in the field of further, more intensive research.

Notes:

libraries in Bremen and Regensburg. 1976. - Leisering, W.-J.: 1984: the Deutsche Bibliothek would not, in future, use Systems for libraries (SfB) and GHBS. 1985.

- Stevenson, G.: Andreas Schleiermacher's bibliographical classification and its relationship to the DDC and LCC. Champaign, Illinois 1978. - Engbarth, M.: Die LCC. Geschichte, Struktur, Verbreitung und Auswirkungen auf deutsche Bibliotheksklassifikationen. Köln: FHBD 1980. (Examensarbeit ÖB).
- Ludwig, D.: Die Diskussion um die BBK in der sowjetischen Fachliteratur seit 1965. Mit einem Exkurs über die Anwendung der BBK in Bibliotheken der DDR. Köln: FHBD 1984. (Examensarbeit HB).

FHS f.Bibliotheks- u.Dokumentationswesen in Köln Claudiusstr. 1, D-5000 Köln 1

deration the fact that the terminology is, in parts, not rung in RSWK und PRECIS. (New Rules for the Subject particularly precise (c.f. notation types) and that some Catalog: An Introduction to RSWK and PRECIS). Lectures from a further training course at the College for Librarianship and Documentation, Cologne, July 9-10, 1984. Köln: ses¹ would have contributed to a critical investigation of Greven 1985. 175p., ISBN 3-7743-0559-5. = Kölner Arb.z.Bibl. u.Dok.wesen, H.6.

> In the Federal Republic of Germany, the year 1977 been to develop a uniform system of classification (Ein-

Parallel to the tradition of systematic catalogues, since monohierarchic classification systems in use, the author the beginning of the 19th century - initiated by Martin recommends - without further comment - the drawing-up Schrettinger in Munich - there had also been subject of concordance indexes (p.86-87), the effectivity of catalogues in the libraries of the German-speaking counwhich, considering the subject and structural discrepancies tries. Varying local practices led, in the course of time, to the drawing up of various sets of rules. Using data process-The consideration of classificatory subject analysis in ing, newly founded libraries also began to compile subject Germany is enclosed by an introductory chapter on the catalogues in the 70's. At the same time, the development LCC and a concluding digression on the Library Biblio of thesaurus concepts and the use of verbal index and graphical Classification (BBK). Although the model retrieval processes in literature data banks ran parallel to

The expected use of data processing gave rise to the of view - reciprocal influences and the use of the LCC at hope in many German libraries that in the field of subject the university library at Ulm are not expressed in concrete analysis the intake of outside data or a cooperative form terms. nor are individual studies² in connection with this of subject cataloguing would also be possible. Under the taken into account. Considering the planned adaptation of impact of the collapse of the classification project menthe LCC by the Göttingen State and University Library, it tioned above, efforts were directed to common rules, yet could also be a moot point whether the methodological to be drawn up, for the subject catalogue which, in the shortcomings of this classification - from the German future, should be the basis for a cooperative subject point of view - could not be elaborated on here more analysis at German universities. A commission appointed clearly. The final plea - an unrelated appendage - for a to draw up such rules submitted the first draft of them in greater consideration of the Soviet BBK - not least because 1981, the third in 1983 (1), which could then be discussed of the importance of the classification system for libraries by those interested groups connected with library work. in the GDR - should certainly be emphasized. To be This discussion was partly carried out under the positive included in the long list of references given is the paper impression the theoretic elegance and the practical applicability of PRECIS had made on German librarians.

Great importance was laid by all concerned on the Gisela Heinrich verbal subject analysis, and, if it did, which process would it choose. A project was set up in which the Deutsche Bibliothek investigated the applicability of PRECIS E.g. the examination theses HB from the FHBD in Cologne: to the German language, the results of this being summa-Voigt, R.. The subject catalogue systems of the university rized in a report (2, 3). The final decision fell in February,

PRECIS, but the new "Rules for the Subject Catalogue" (2) PRECIS: Für die Anwendung in deutschen Bibliotheken (RSWK), a decision which for someone who is not conversant with German library relations is probably difficult to understand.

against the background of which this decision may become more comprehensible.

This book is the outcome of a conference held in July, Prof.W.Gödert 1984, i.e. after the decision on the future of German Fachhochschule Hamburg. FB Bibliothekswesen subject analysis had been made. This conference gave a wider public the opportunity to become more familiar with both sets of rules.

It contains seven articles: four on RSWK, two on WEISHAUPT, Karin: Sacherschließung in Bibliotheken Bibliothek.

and their Status in Verbal and Classificatory Subject Analysis", G.HEINRICH (Cologne) traces the genesis done elsewhere (4).

tion of RSWK" and "Chain Indexing According to RSWK" book which, in the meanwhile, in no longer up-to-date. describe the central problems of subject cataloguing using RSWK; explain the most important characteristics, presentation of subject analysis, not to mention a "survey and give an introduction to the philosophy behind this set suitable for the beginner" (p.1), as this one does, is of rules. I should like to recommend both articles to those greeted with open arms. Unfortunately, it must be said who want to become familiar with the most important that one's anticipatory pleasure quickly fades and that rules of RSWK in outline.

A standard subject heading list (based on the Library done on the standard subject heading list.

decide.

use of RSWK.

respected and important methodology as PRECIS (in- off the whole. cluding the consequences with regard to international data exchange) was carried out knowingly and deliberately, an |unfortunately, turn out to be characteristic throughout action which one day may be deplored by future librarian the book: there is a haphazard use of terminology, techgenerations.

References

- überarbeitete und vereinfachte Form des syntaktischen Indexierungsverfahrens der British Library. Berlin 1984.
- (3) Austin, J.: Book Review of (2) in Int. Classif. 12(1985) No.1, p.41-43
- The book reviewed here contains some information (4) Extensive book review to appear in: Bibliothek. Forschung und Praxis.

Grindelhof 30, D-2000 Hamburg 13

PRECIS, and one on the subject analysis of the Deutsche und Bibliographien. 1. Klassifikatorische Sacherschlie-Bung. (Subject Analysis in Libraries and Bibliographies. In an introductory article, "The Development of Vol.1: Classificatory Subject Analysis.) Frankfurt: Standardized 'Rules for the Subject Catalogue' (RSWK) Klostermann 1985. 175p., DM 26.-, ISBN 3-465-01672-6

At the moment, there is in specialized literature in the of RSWK and outlines the discussions on the use of either German language no book which is primarily devoted to RSWK or PRECIS by the Deutsche Bibliothek. In my aspects of library subject analysis and which, at the same opinion, the great shortcomings of this article lie in the time, takes more recent developments into account. There fact, that Heinrich does not give an objective account is, for example, in the German language no equivalent either of the genesis or, above all, of the discussions, but counterpart to books such as B.Buchanan's "Theory of develops this theme from the point of view of an advocate Library Classification" or A.C.Foskett's "The Subject of RSWK. I shall not go into detail here, as this has been Approach to Information". The only one you can fall back upon - if you wish to name a textbook-styled presen-The following articles by F.JUNGINGER (Munich) and tation of the whole field of library subject analysis - is R.POLL (Erlangen) on the subjects of "Rules of Applica- Heinrich Roloff's "Manual of Subject Cataloguing", a

> It is for this reason that any book that promises a the book does not in the least keep what it promises.

"The most important aim of this presentation is to give of Congress Subject Headings) is given with the rules. those tools necessary for the description of classifications R.FRANKENBERGER (Augsburg) reports on the work and for the daily work with them and to show the consequences of decisions for certain structural principles" The two articles devoted to PRECIS are an "Introduc- (p.2). The following arrangement of the material should tion to the Indexing Procedure PRECIS" by R.SUPPER serve this aim: after a first chapter on "General character-(Berlin), and a report on "The PRECIS Project of the istics of classificatory subject analysis", there then follow Deutsche Bibliothek" by B.MAASSEN (Frankfurt). In three large sections on the subjects "Monohierarchic Maaßen's article, it becomes clear that those people in the classifications", "Classifications with enumerative nota-Deutsche Bibliothek dealing with subject analysis would tion structures", and "Polyhierarchically oriented classihave given preference to PRECIS if they had been free to fications", in which, all told, 18 different classification systems are mentioned; in particular, a mixture of older B.KELM (Frankfurt) writes in her article on the systems (Ersch/Schütz/Hufeland; Hartwig), more recent previous activities of the Deutsche Bibliothek in the field German shelving systems of public and scientific libraries, of subject analysis and outlines perspectives in the future specialized classifications (International Patent Classification), and internationally known universal classification Together with the conference report, there is a docu- systems (Dewey Decimal Classification; Universal Decimal ment in which it is clearly stated that the disassociation of Classification; Bliss Bibliographic Classification 2). A German subject analysis from such an internationally summarizing comparison of the systems presented rounds

The very first chapter leads to observations which, Winfried Gödert | nical terms are used without any clarification, only to be explained elsewhere in the book more or less 'en passant', and without reliable reference from the index. Important terms of classification such as generic relationship, parti-(1) Regeln für den Schlagwortkatalog (RSWK). 3rd Draft. Berlin tion relationship, or complementary relationship are not